

## CREDIT OPINION

25 September 2020

New Issue



## Contacts

**Bridgett Stone** +1.312.706.9971  
Associate Lead Analyst  
bridgett.stone@moodys.com

**David Strungis** +1.212.553.7422  
VP-Senior Analyst  
david.strungis@moodys.com

## CLIENT SERVICES

Americas	1-212-553-1653
Asia Pacific	852-3551-3077
Japan	81-3-5408-4100
EMEA	44-20-7772-5454

# Will & Kankakee Counties Community Unit School District 207-U (Peotone), IL

Update to credit analysis

## Summary

[Peotone Community Unit School District 207-U, IL](#) (Aa3) is a far south suburb of [Chicago](#) (Ba1 stable) and has a solid credit profile. The tax base is moderately sized and was \$1.2 billion in the 2019 levy year. It grew at a modest average annual rate of 3% over the past five years because of appreciation of existing property. Resident income levels are above average with median family income estimated at 133% of the national figure. Residents have employment opportunities within the greater Chicago area. Enrollment totaled 1,400 for the 2020 academic year and management expects it to decline modestly over the next few years given area population trends. It has declined by an average of 2% over the past five years.

Reserves are strong and will likely remain so because of the district's good budget management. Reserves have grown over the past five fiscal years in large part because of the issuance of working cash bonds, which allow Illinois school districts to tap into an additional property tax levy. District residents voted down a levy increase in March 2020 that would have brought in an additional \$3.6 million annually and would have eliminated the need for future working cash bond issuances. An upcoming issuance of these bonds is expected to result in a fiscal 2021 surplus of \$1.2 million. State aid is also level for fiscal 2021. Unaudited results for fiscal 2020 show a \$2.6 million deficit across operating funds (combined education, operations and maintenance, debt service, transportation, Illinois municipal retirement fund and working cash funds), close to that originally budgeted. The deficit is due to the planned use of prior working cash bond proceeds. Available operating fund balance totaled \$14.9 million for fiscal 2019, a very strong 58% of revenue.

Property taxes are the biggest source of revenue for the district. Tax collections have not been materially impacted during the pandemic even though [Will County](#) (Aa1 stable) waived late fees and penalties for property tax payments for 60 days. Reliance on state aid is average compared to peers, comprising 11% of operating revenue in fiscal 2019. Total state support inclusive of on-behalf pension payments was 29%.

Net direct debt is low and totals \$12.9 million, equal to 1.1% of full value and 0.5x fiscal 2019 revenue. The district has some contingent risk associated with state support for its contributions to an underfunded Teachers Retirement system. The three-year adjusted net pension liability (ANPL) is equal to a low 0.4x operating revenue and 0.8% of full value, reflecting that the state currently funds most teacher pensions on behalf of local school districts. The district's fixed costs, inclusive of debt service, pension and other post employment benefit (OPEB) contributions are a little high compared to peers at 21% of fiscal

2019 operating revenue, though these costs are primarily because of debt service, which is relatively predictable and supported by a dedicated levy.

The coronavirus outbreak is a social risk under our ESG framework, given the substantial implications for public health and safety. The pandemic is driving an unprecedented economic slowdown. We do not see any material immediate credit risks for the district. The situation surrounding coronavirus is rapidly evolving and the longer term impact will depend on both the severity and duration of the crisis. If our view of the credit quality of the district changes, we will update the rating and/or outlook at that time

### **Credit strengths**

- » Healthy financial operations and strong liquidity
- » Moderate debt burden

### **Credit challenges**

- » High fixed costs
- » Contingent risk associated with reliance on state support for pension contributions to an underfunded statewide teachers' pension plan

### **Rating outlook**

Outlooks are generally not assigned to local governments with this amount of debt.

### **Factors that could lead to an upgrade**

- » Material expansion of the district's tax base
- » Reduced risk related to state-supported cost-sharing pension plan

### **Factors that could lead to a downgrade**

- » Significant declines in fund balance or liquidity
- » Material increase in the district's debt or pension burden

This publication does not announce a credit rating action. For any credit ratings referenced in this publication, please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on [www.moodys.com](http://www.moodys.com) for the most updated credit rating action information and rating history.

## Key indicators

Exhibit 1

<b>Will &amp; Kankakee Counties Community Unit School District 207-</b>	<b>2015</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>
<b>Economy/Tax Base</b>					
Total Full Value (\$000)	\$985,715	\$1,002,817	\$1,053,387	\$1,079,965	\$1,112,979
Population	10,858	11,049	11,066	10,881	10,881
Full Value Per Capita	\$90,782	\$90,761	\$95,191	\$99,252	\$102,286
Median Family Income (% of US Median)	134.6%	135.9%	136.7%	132.9%	132.9%
<b>Finances</b>					
Operating Revenue (\$000)	\$22,996	\$24,269	\$22,319	\$22,745	\$25,856
Fund Balance (\$000)	\$8,891	\$11,882	\$10,602	\$11,036	\$14,887
Cash Balance (\$000)	\$8,891	\$11,882	\$10,602	\$11,036	\$14,887
Fund Balance as a % of Revenues	38.7%	49.0%	47.5%	48.5%	57.6%
Cash Balance as a % of Revenues	38.7%	49.0%	47.5%	48.5%	57.6%
<b>Debt/Pensions</b>					
Net Direct Debt (\$000)	\$22,109	\$22,815	\$18,699	\$13,229	\$13,195
3-Year Average of Moody's ANPL (\$000)	\$6,591	\$7,602	\$9,435	\$9,576	\$9,299
Net Direct Debt / Full Value (%)	2.2%	2.3%	1.8%	1.2%	1.2%
Net Direct Debt / Operating Revenues (x)	1.0x	0.9x	0.8x	0.6x	0.5x
Moody's - adjusted Net Pension Liability (3-yr average) to Full Value (%)	0.7%	0.8%	0.9%	0.9%	0.8%
Moody's - adjusted Net Pension Liability (3-yr average) to Revenues (x)	0.3x	0.3x	0.4x	0.4x	0.4x

Source: Issuer's audited financial statements; US Census Bureau

## Profile

The district is located primarily in Will County about 45 miles southwest of Chicago and serves the village of Peotone, a small portion of the villages of Manhattan and Frankfort and surrounding unincorporated area. The district operates an early childhood center, one elementary school, one intermediate school, one middle school and one high school providing pre-K through twelfth grade education to roughly 1,400 students as of the 2020 academic year. The district's population totals about 10,900.

## Legal Security

The district's debt is secured by its pledge of any legally available funds and pledge to levy ad valorem property taxes unlimited as to rate but limited as to amount pursuant to the district's debt service extension base (DSEB).

## ESG considerations

### Environmental

Environmental considerations are not material to the district's credit profile. According to data of Moody's affiliate, Four Twenty Seven, Will County is at relatively high risk for heat stress, water stress, and extreme rainfall compared to counties nationally, but the district itself has little direct exposure to this factor. In the near term, reserves would be sufficient to mitigate potential damage to district facilities in the event of a natural disaster.

### Social

The social considerations for the district include a moderately sized tax base with above average resident income and wealth levels and below-average poverty levels. The district is in the far southern portion of the Chicago metro area and the population has declined modestly over the past 10 years. The district's reserves are sufficient to absorb the short-term impact of social issues that may arise.

All Illinois schools were closed as of March 17 in response to the coronavirus pandemic. New expenditures have been minimal and expected to be covered by CARES act funds and local grants. District schools have resumed in-person instruction for the 2021 academic year with a portion of students in remote learning.

### Governance

Property taxes are the largest revenue source and the district has the ability to increase tax rates to offset valuation declines, but growth in receipts is subject to the Property Tax Extension Limitation Law (PTELL), which limits the annual growth in taxes to the lesser

of 5% or growth in the Consumer Price Index (CPI), plus new construction. The district has managed budgetary shortfalls in the past by issuing working cash bonds. These bonds allow the district to tap into an additional property tax revenue and funds may be used for general operations.

Illinois school districts have an institutional framework score <sup>1</sup> of "A," which is moderate. School districts have moderate revenue-raising ability since they are subject to tax caps, but districts can seek voter approval for additional local property tax funding. Revenue predictability is disparate across the state: revenue for property tax dependent districts are very stable, while revenue for state aid dependent districts are less stable. Strong public sector unions somewhat limit districts' expenditure reduction ability. Still, districts have some cost-cutting ability given manageable fixed costs which are primarily debt service expenditures, as the state currently assumes most pension costs for most Illinois public school districts. Expenditures consist primarily of personnel costs, which are highly predictable.

## Rating methodology and scorecard factor

The [US Local Government General Obligation Debt](#) methodology includes a scorecard, a tool providing a composite score of a local government's credit profile based on the weighted factors we consider most important, universal and measurable, as well as possible notching factors dependent on individual credit strengths and weaknesses. Its purpose is not to determine the final rating, but rather to provide a standard platform from which to analyze and compare local government credits.

### Will & Kankakee Counties Community Unit School District 207-

Scorecard Factors and Subfactors	Measure	Score
<b>Economy/Tax Base (30%)</b> <sup>[1]</sup>		
Tax Base Size: Full Value (in 000s)	\$1,161,214	A
Full Value Per Capita	\$106,719	Aa
Median Family Income (% of US Median)	132.9%	Aa
<b>Finances (30%)</b>		
Fund Balance as a % of Revenues	57.6%	Aaa
5-Year Dollar Change in Fund Balance as % of Revenues	11.8%	Aa
Cash Balance as a % of Revenues	57.6%	Aaa
5-Year Dollar Change in Cash Balance as % of Revenues	11.8%	Aa
<b>Management (20%)</b>		
Institutional Framework	A	A
Operating History: 5-Year Average of Operating Revenues / Operating Expenditures	0.9x	Ba
<b>Debt and Pensions (20%)</b>		
Net Direct Debt / Full Value (%)	1.1%	Aa
Net Direct Debt / Operating Revenues (x)	0.5x	Aa
3-Year Average of Moody's Adjusted Net Pension Liability / Full Value (%)	0.8%	Aaa
3-Year Average of Moody's Adjusted Net Pension Liability / Operating Revenues (x)	0.4x	Aaa
<b>Notching Factors:</b> <sup>[2]</sup>		
Other Scorecard Adjustment Related to Debt/Pensions		Down
	Scorecard-Indicated Outcome	Aa3
	Assigned Rating	Aa3

[1] Economy measures are based on data from the most recent year available.

[2] Notching Factors are specifically defined in the US Local Government General Obligation Debt methodology.

[3] Standardized adjustments are outlined in the latest [GO Methodology Scorecard Inputs](#) publication.

Source: Issuer's audited financial statements; US Census Bureau

## Endnotes

1 The institutional framework score assesses a municipality's legal ability to match revenue with expenditures based on its constitutionally and legislatively conferred powers and responsibilities. See [US Local Government General Obligation Debt \(July 2020\)](#) methodology report for more details.

© 2020 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. AND/OR ITS CREDIT RATINGS AFFILIATES ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND MATERIALS, PRODUCTS, SERVICES AND INFORMATION PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S (COLLECTIVELY, "PUBLICATIONS") MAY INCLUDE SUCH CURRENT OPINIONS. MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT OR IMPAIRMENT. SEE MOODY'S RATING SYMBOLS AND DEFINITIONS PUBLICATION FOR INFORMATION ON THE TYPES OF CONTRACTUAL FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS ADDRESSED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE CREDIT RATINGS. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS, NON-CREDIT ASSESSMENTS ("ASSESSMENTS"), AND OTHER OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. AND/OR ITS AFFILIATES. MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLICATIONS DO NOT COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS AND OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLISHES ITS PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS, AND PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS AND INAPPROPRIATE FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO USE MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS OR PUBLICATIONS WHEN MAKING AN INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER. ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY ANY PERSON AS A BENCHMARK AS THAT TERM IS DEFINED FOR REGULATORY PURPOSES AND MUST NOT BE USED IN ANY WAY THAT COULD RESULT IN THEM BEING CONSIDERED A BENCHMARK.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing its Publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY CREDIT RATING, ASSESSMENT, OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any credit rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for credit ratings opinions and services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,000 to approximately \$2,700,000. MCO and Moody's Investors Service also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of Moody's Investors Service credit ratings and credit rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold credit ratings from Moody's Investors Service and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at [www.moody.com](http://www.moody.com) under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Additional terms for Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors.

Additional terms for Japan only: Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJKK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJKK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJKK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any credit rating, agreed to pay to MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) for credit ratings opinions and services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY125,000 to approximately JPY250,000,000.

MJKK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.

## CLIENT SERVICES

Americas	1-212-553-1653
Asia Pacific	852-3551-3077
Japan	81-3-5408-4100
EMEA	44-20-7772-5454